arXiv:1607.06164v1 [astro-ph.SR] 21 Jul 2016

Estimate the radius of the convective core of main-sequence stars from observed oscillation frequencies

Wuming Yang
Department of Astronomy, Beijing Normal University,Beijing 100875, China
yangwuming@bnu.edu.cn; yangwuming@ynao.ac.cn

ABSTRACT

The determination of the size of the convective core of main-sequence stars is usually dependent on the construction of models of stars. Here we introduce a method to estimate the radius of the convective core of main-sequence stars with masses between about 1.1 and 1.5 $M_{\odot}$ from observed frequencies of low-degree p-modes. A formula is proposed to achieve the estimation. The values of the radius of the convective core of four known stars are successfully estimated by the formula. The radius of the convective core of KIC 9812850 estimated by the formula is $\mathbf{0.140 \pm 0.028}$ $R_{\odot}$. In order to confirm this prediction, a grid of evolutionary models were computed. The value of the convective-core radius of the best-fit model of KIC 9812850 is 0.149 $R_{\odot}$, which is in good agreement with that estimated by the formula from observed frequencies. The formula aids in understanding the interior structure of stars directly from observed frequencies. The understanding is not dependent on the construction of models.

Subject headings: Convection — stars: evolution — stars: interiors — stars: oscillations (including pulsations)

1. INTRODUCTION

By matching the luminosity, atmospheric parameters, and oscillation frequencies of models with the observed ones, asteroseismology is used to determine fundamental parameters of stars. Asteroseismology is also used to probe physical processes in stars and diagnose internal structures of stars (Roxburgh & Vorontsov 1994, 1999, 2001, 2003, 2004, 2007; Cunha & Metcalfe 2007; Cunha & Brandão 2011; Brandão et al. 2010, 2014; Christensen-Dalsgaard & Houdek 2010; Deheuvels et al. 2010; Yang & Meng 2010; Yang et al. 2012, 2015; Silva Aguirre et al. 2011, 2013; Chaplin et al. 2014; Ge et al. 2014; Guenther et al. 2014; Liu et al. 2014; Yang 2016). Asteroseismology is a powerful tool for studying the structure and evolution of stars.

Stars with a mass larger than 1.1 $M_{\odot}$ are considered to have a convective core during their main sequence (MS) stage. Due to the fact that the overshooting of the convective core can bring more hydrogen-rich material into the core, the evolution of a star could be significantly affected by the overshooting. Thus determining the size of the convective core including the overshooting region is important for understanding the structure and evolution of stars. However, the size of the convective core has never been determined directly from observed data of stars. Generally, the understanding of the size of the convective core derives from the computation of evolutionary models of stars.

When seeking to probe the internal structures of stars with low-l p-modes, the small separations, d_{10}, d_{01}, d_{02}, and d_{13}, and the ratios of the small separations to the large separations, r_{10}, r_{01}, r_{02}, and r_{13} (Roxburgh & Vorontsov 2003; Yang & Bi 2007b, and references therein), are considered to be the very useful diagnostic tools. The small separations d_{10} and d_{01} are defined as (Roxburgh & Vorontsov 2003)

$$d_{10}(n) = -\frac{1}{2}(-\nu_{n,0} + 2\nu_{n,1} - \nu_{n+1,0}) \quad (1)$$

and

$$d_{01}(n) = \frac{1}{2}(-\nu_{n,1} + 2\nu_{n,0} - \nu_{n-1,1}). \quad (2)$$

But in calculation, the smoother five-point separations are adopted.

Stars with masses between about 1.1 and 1.5 $M_\odot$ have a convective core during their MS. The discontinuity in density at the edge of the convective core increases with the evolution of the stars. The rapid variation of density with depth in a stellar core can distort acoustic wave propagation in stellar interiors, producing a reflected wave (Roxburgh & Vorontsov 2007). The reflectivity can come from the rapid density change at the edge of the convective core (Roxburgh & Vorontsov 2007). For the modes with frequencies larger than a critical frequency, they can penetrate into the convective core. Partial wave reflection at the core boundary could lead to acoustic resonances in the convective core (Roxburgh & Vorontsov 2004). As a consequence, at high frequencies, we would see a periodic variation in the small separations with frequency (Roxburgh & Vorontsov 2004). If this periodic component is determined from observations, it can be used for constraining the size of the convective core (Roxburgh & Vorontsov 1999, 2001, 2004).

Roxburgh & Vorontsov (1994, 2000a,b, 2001) developed the theory of semiclassical analysis that can more accurately describe the low-degree p-modes and the small separations. However, Roxburgh & Vorontsov (2004) pointed out that their expressions for the perturbations in the phase shifts are not transparent enough to serve as a basis for simple estimates. The effects of the convective core on d_{10}, d_{01}, r_{10}, and r_{01} are also studied by other authors (Cunha & Metcalfe 2007; Cunha & Brandão 2011; Brandão et al. 2010, 2014; Deheuvels et al. 2010; Silva Aguirre et al. 2011, 2013; Liu et al. 2014; Yang et al. 2015). The conclusion is that the ratios r_{01} and r_{10} can be affected by the presence of the convective core.

In order to isolate the frequency perturbation produced by the edge of the convective core, Cunha & Metcalfe (2007) and Cunha & Brandão (2011) defined a tool $r_{0213} = r_{02} - r_{13}$. They have shown that the tool can potentially be used to infer information about the amplitude of the discontinuity in the sound speed at the edge of the convective core but it is unable to fully isolate the frequency perturbation.

Yang et al. (2015) show that the ratios r_{01} and r_{10} of a star with a convective core can be described by equation

$$B(\nu_{n,1}) = \frac{2A\nu_{n,1}}{2\pi^2(\nu_0^2 - \nu_{n,1}^2)} \sin(2\pi\frac{\nu_{n,1}}{\nu_0}) + B_0, \quad (3)$$

where the quantities A and B_0 are two parameters, the ν_0 is the frequency of $l = 1$ mode whose inner turning point is located on the boundary between the radiative region and the overshooting region of the convective core.

In this work, we propose a method to estimate the radius of the convective core of MS stars with masses between about 1.1 and 1.5 $M_\odot$ from observed frequencies of low-degree p-modes. The estimated radius is comparable with that obtained from evolutionary model. Individual frequencies of p-modes of KIC 9812850 have been extracted by Appourchaux et al. (2012). The mass of KIC 9812850 estimated by Metcalfe et al. (2014) is 1.39 ± 0.05 $M_\odot$. Thus KIC 9812850 could have a convective core. We determined the radius of the convective core of KIC 9812850 in two ways. One is estimated from the observed frequencies; the other is determined from the best model for KIC 9812850. In Section 2, a formula that can be used to determine the radius of the convective core from oscillation frequencies is proposed and is applied to different stars. In Section 3, based on finding the maximum likelihood of models of a grid of evolutionary tracks, the best-fit model of KIC 9812850 is found out; and then, we compare the radius of the convective core of the best model with that determined from oscillation frequencies. Finally, we give a discussion about the domain of the validity of the method and summary in Section 4.

2. ESTIMATE THE RADIUS OF THE CONVECTIVE CORE FROM OSCILLATION FREQUENCIES

The inner turning point, r_t, of the mode with a frequency $\nu_{n,l}$ is determined by

$$r_t = f_0 \frac{c_s(r_t)}{\nu_{n,l}} \frac{\sqrt{l(l+1)}}{2\pi}, \quad (4)$$

where $c_s(r_t)$ is the adiabatic sound speed at radius r_t, the value of the parameter f_0 is 2.0 (Liu et al. 2014). For the modes with $l = 1$, the frequency ν_0 of the mode whose inner turning point is just located on the boundary between the radiative region and the overshooting region of the convective core can be estimated by equation (3) from observed frequencies and the ratios computed from the frequencies. Thus the radius of the convective core including the overshooting region, r_c, can be determined by

$$r_c = \frac{c_s(r_c)}{\nu_0}\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi}. \quad (5)$$

In the middle stage of MS stars with masses between about 1.1 and 1.5 $M_\odot$, the magnitude of $c_s(r_c)$ is of the order of about 5×10^7 cm s^{-1}. Thus for the MS stars extracted individual frequencies of low-degree p-modes, combining the equation (3), the radius r_c can be estimated by

$$\begin{aligned} r_c &\approx \frac{5\times10^7}{\nu_0\times10^{-6}}\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi}\frac{1}{6.9598\times10^{10}} \\ &= \frac{323}{\nu_0(\mu\mathrm{Hz})}(R_\odot) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

from the observed data.

By using the function of nonlinear curve fitting of Origin software where chi-square fitting is used and Hessian matrix is calculated [1], from the observed frequencies (Benomar et al. 2009) and ratio r_{10} of HD 49933, **we obtained** that the value of **the parameter ν_0 of equation (3)** is 1920 ± 46 μHz **for HD 49933**. From the observed frequencies of KIC 6225718 (Tian et al. 2014) and ratio r_{10}, the value of ν_0 is estimated to be about 5764 ± 312 μHz. From the frequencies of KIC 2837475 and KIC 11081729 given by Appourchaux et al. (2012), the value of ν_0 is estimated to be 913 ± 36 μHz for KIC 2837475 and 795 ± 21 μHz for KIC 11081729.

Using formula (6), one can obtain that the radius of the convective core including overshooting region is about 0.056 $R_\odot$ for KIC 6225718, 0.168 $R_\odot$ for HD 49933, 0.354 $R_\odot$ for KIC 2837475, and 0.406 $R_\odot$ for KIC 11081729. The models of the four stars were determined (Tian et al. 2014; Liu et al. 2014; Yang et al. 2015; Yang 2015). The radius of the convective core including overshooting region is around 0.056 $R_\odot$ for the model 14 of KIC 6225718 (Tian et al. 2014), 0.17 $R_\odot$ for the model M52 of HD 49933 (Liu et al. 2014), 0.358 $R_\odot$ for the model Ma14 of KIC 2837475 (Yang et al. 2015), and 0.37 $R_\odot$ for the model Ma6 of KIC 11081729 (Yang 2015). The values of radius r_c of these stars, estimated from observed data, are in good agreement with those obtained from the models of the stars. This indicates that the radius of the convective core r_c of the MS stars could be determined directly from observed frequencies and ratios r_{01} and r_{10} by using equations (3) and (6).

Appourchaux et al. (2012) extracted the frequencies of low-l p-modes of KIC 9812850. Using the ratio r_{10} computed from the frequencies and the observed frequencies $\nu_{n,1}$, the values of A, ν_0, and B_0 in equation (3) are estimated to be 264 ± 62 π, 2309 ± 141 μHz, and 0.043 ± 0.005, respectively, for KIC 9812850. Thus the radius r_c of KIC 9812850 is predicted to be about 0.14 $R_\odot$ by formula (6).

3. THE RADIUS OF THE CONVECTIVE CORE OF THE BEST MODEL OF KIC 9812850

3.1. Evolutionary Models

In order to compare the value of r_c estimated by equations (3) and (6) for KIC 9812850 with that of evolutionary model of KIC 9812850, we sought for the best model of KIC 9812850 that match both non-seismic constraints and seismic characteristics in a grid of evolutionary models. We used the Yale Rotation Evolution Code (YREC) (Pinsonneault et al. 1989; Yang & Bi 2007a; Yang et al. 2015) to construct the models. For the microphysics, the OPAL equation-of-state table EOS2005 (Rogers & Nayfonov 2002) and OPAL opacity table GN93 (Iglesias & Rogers 1996) were adopted, supplemented by the Alexander & Ferguson (1994) opacity tables at low temperature. The models with a mass less than 1.30 $M_\odot$ take into account the diffusion and settling of both helium and heavy elements by using the diffusion coefficients of Thoul et al. (1994). The standard mixing-length theory is adopted to treat convection. The mixing-length parameter α is a free parameter in this work. For the Sun, the value of the α for the YREC is 1.74. The distance of the overshooting of the convective core is defined

[1] http://www.originlab.com/doc/Origin-help/NLFit-theory

as $\delta_{\rm ov}H_p$, where $\delta_{\rm ov}$ is a free parameter and H_p is the local pressure scale-height. The full mixing of material is assumed in the overshooting region. The initial helium mass fraction is fixed at the standard big bang nucleosynthesis value 0.248 (Spergel et al. 2007) and 0.295. All models are evolved from zero-age MS to the end of MS. The values of the input parameters, mass, α, $\delta_{\rm ov}$, and Z_i for the calculations are summaried in Table 1.

The adiabatic oscillation frequencies $\nu_{n,l}$ of models were computed by using the pulsation code jig7 of Guenther (1994). The effects of the near-surface effects of a model on the frequencies were calculated by using the method of Kjeldsen et al. (2008).

3.2. Observational Constraints on Models

KIC 9812850 is an F8 star (Wright et al. 2003). The value of [Fe/H] given by Ammons et al. (2006) is $0.00^{+0.15}_{-0.16}$, but that given by Bruntt et al. (2012) is -0.16 ± 0.06 for KIC 9812850. Combining the value of 0.023 of $(Z/X)_\odot$ of the Sun, the value of $(Z/X)_{\rm s}$ of KIC 9812850 is estimated to be between 0.016 and 0.033 for the [Fe/H] of Ammons et al. (2006), or in the range of 0.014 and 0.018 for the [Fe/H] of Bruntt et al. (2012). The effective temperature of KIC 9812850 is 6297 ± 70 K (Ammons et al. 2006) or 6330 ± 70 K (Bruntt et al. 2012). The estimated atmospheric parameters of stars hotter than 6,000 K could be affected by the method of spectral analysis (Molenda-Zakowicz et al. 2013). Therefore, the atmospheric parameters determined by both Ammons et al. (2006) and Bruntt et al. (2012) were considered in this work.

The parallax of KIC 9812850 is in the range between about 5.9 and 17.5 mas (Kharchenko 2001; Ammons et al. 2006). The bolometric correction of KIC 9812850 is estimated from the tables of Flower (1996). The extinction of KIC 9812850 is given by Ammons et al. (2006). The visual magnitude of this star is 9.5 ± 0.3 mag (Droege et al. 2006; Ammons et al. 2006). Thus the luminosity of KIC 9812850 is estimated to be about 2.6 ± 2.3 $L_\odot$.

In order to find the best model for KIC 9812850, we calculated the likelihood function of all models. The likelihood function is defined as (Basu et al. 2010)

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{N/2}\prod_{i=1}^{N}\sigma(C_i^{ob})}\exp(-\frac{1}{2}\chi^2), \qquad (7)$$

where

$$\chi^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{N}[\frac{C_i^{\rm th}-C_i^{\rm ob}}{\sigma(C_i^{\rm ob})}]^2, \qquad (8)$$

the quantity $C_i^{\rm ob}$ indicates the observed $T_{\rm eff}$, $L/L_\odot$, $(Z/X)_{\rm s}$, and $\nu_{n,l}$, while the $C_i^{\rm th}$ corresponds to the $T_{\rm eff}$, $L/L_\odot$, $(Z/X)_{\rm s}$, and $\nu_{n,l}$ of models. The quantity $\sigma(C_i^{\rm ob})$ represents the observational error of $C_i^{\rm ob}$. The value of N is 45.

Moreover, the values of classical $\chi^2_{\rm c}$ and χ^2_ν of models were also computed as a reference. The $\chi^2_{\rm c}$ and χ^2_ν are defined as

$$\chi^2_{\rm c} = \frac{1}{3}\sum_{i=1}^{3}[\frac{C_i^{\rm th}-C_i^{\rm ob}}{\sigma(C_i^{\rm ob})}]^2 \qquad (9)$$

and

$$\chi^2_\nu = \frac{1}{42}\sum_{i=1}^{42}[\frac{\nu_i^{\rm th}-\nu_i^{\rm ob}}{\sigma(\nu_i^{\rm ob})}]^2, \qquad (10)$$

respectively, where $C_i = (T_{\rm eff}, L/L_\odot, (Z/X)_{\rm s})$ and $\sigma(C_i^{\rm ob})$ denotes the observational error, ν_i corresponds to frequencies. The observational error of $\nu_i^{\rm ob}$ is indicated by $\sigma(\nu_i^{\rm ob})$.

When the model evolves to the vicinity of the error-box of luminosity and effective temperature in the Hertzsprung-Russell diagram, the time-step of the evolution for each track is set as small as 1 Myr, which ensures that the consecutive models have an approximately equal χ^2_ν.

3.3. The Best Models of KIC 9812850

For a given mass, the model that maximizes $\mathcal{L}$ is chosen as a candidate for the best-fit model. Table 2 lists four models which have a larger $\mathcal{L}$ in the calculations and shows that model M3 has the maximum $\mathcal{L}$.

Figure 1 compares the distributions of the observed r_{01} and r_{10} with those calculated from the models listed in Table 2. The distributions of r_{01} and r_{10} of KIC 9812850 are reproduced well by models M2 and M3. The **right** panels of Figure 1 show that there are periodic variations in the differences between the observed ratios and those of models. This may come from

the effects of the helium ionization region and the base of the convective envelope on the observed frequencies (Roxburgh & Vorontsov 2004; Mazumdar et al. 2014). Model M3 not only maximizes the likelihood function in the calculations, but reproduces the distributions of observed r_{01} and r_{10} of KIC 9812850. Therefore, M3 is chosen as the best-fit model of KIC 9812850.

Moreover, Figure 1 shows that the distributions of r_{01} and r_{10} of M3 are reproduced well by equation (3) with $A = 180\pi$, $\nu_0 = 2180$ μHz, and $B_0 = 0.0465$. This indicates that ratios r_{01} and r_{10} can be described by equation (3).

The value of r_c of model M3 is 0.149 $R_\odot$, which is in good agreement with $\mathbf{0.140 \pm 0.028}$ $R_\odot$ estimated by equation (6). The radius of the convective core including overshooting region of the best model of KIC 9812850 is successfully estimated by equations (3) and (6) from observed oscillation frequencies.

Moreover, the value of ν_{max} of KIC 9812850 is 1186 μHz (Appourchaux et al. 2012), which is less than 2309 μHz of ν_0. The value of $\delta_{\rm ov}$ of M3 is 0.2, which is consistent with the deduction that if the value of ν_{max} of a star is less than the value **of** ν_0, the star may have a small $\delta_{\rm ov}$ (Yang 2015).

4. DISCUSSION AND SUMMARY

4.1. Discussion

When angular frequencies of modes are larger than **a critical frequency** ω_0**,** the modes can penetrates into the convective core **of stars**. Assuming that the effects of the convective core on oscillations is related to $-A\cos(\omega_0 t)$, where A is a free parameter, Yang (2015) obtained the **equation** (3) as the result of Fourier transform of $-A\cos(\omega_0 t)$. Thus the **equation** (3) is invalid for stars whose core is **radiative.** Figure 2 shows the distributions of H mass fraction, adiabatic sound speed, and r_{10} of **core-radiative models in different evolutionary stages.** The ratio r_{10} decrease with increase in frequency. The distributions can not be reproduced by **equation (3). The core of model S2 in Figure 3 is also radiative. The distribution of** r_{10} **of the model cannot be reproduced by equation (3) too.**

Roxburgh & Vorontsov (2004, 2007) pointed out that **the discontinuity in density** at the boundary of a convective core can distort acoustic wave propagation in stellar interior, producing a reflected wave. The effects of the convective core on oscillations are related to the fact that the discontinuity reflects acoustic waves. **Therefore,** the **equation** (3) is invalid for stars with a convective core but without the discontinuity in density or sound-speed at the edge of the convective core. **Model S3 in Figure 3 has a small convective core but has no an obvious discontinuity in density or sound speed at the edge of the convective core (see Figure 3). The distribution of** r_{10} **of the model cannot be reproduced by equation (3). While the model S4 has an obvious sound-speed discontinuity at the edge of the convective core. The distribution of** r_{10} **of model S4 is almost reproduced by equation (3). The cores of models S1 in Figures 4 and 5 are also convective, but there is no an obvious discontinuity in density or sound speed of the models. The distributions of** r_{10} **of the models cannot be reproduced by equation (3) too. While the distributions of** r_{10} **of models with a convective core and an obvious discontinuity in sound speed at the edge of the convective core are almost reproduced by equation (3) (see Figures 4 and 5).**

The modes with $l = 0$ are considered to be able to reach the center of a star. According to equation (4), it is more difficult to arrive at the convective core for the modes with $l \geq 2$ than for the modes with $l = 1$. Thus the frequency $\nu_0 = \omega_0/2\pi$ could be the frequency of the $l = 1$ mode whose inner turning point is located on the boundary between the radiative region and the overshooting region of the convective core. Roxburgh & Vorontsov (1994, 1999, 2004, 2007) show that there are periodic variations in small separations with period determined approximately by the acoustic diameter of the convective core, i.e., the period

$$T_c \approx 2\int_0^{r_c} \frac{dr}{c_s}. \qquad (11)$$

The larger the value of r_c, the longer the T_c, i.e., the smaller the frequency $\nu_c = 1/T_c$. According to equation (4), the larger the value of r_c, the smaller the frequency ν_0. Thus the frequency ν_c of Rox-

burgh could be related to the frequency ν_0. The value of sound speed decreases from 5.60×10^7 cm s^{-1} to 4.85×10^7 cm s^{-1} in the convective core of model S3 of star with $M = 1.16\ M_\odot$ (see Figure 4). If the c_s in equation (11) is replaced by $c_s(r_c)$, the value of ν_c can be estimated to be about $0.5c_s(r_c)/r_c$. From equation (5), one can obtain $\nu_0 = 0.45c_s(r_c)/r_c$ which is very close to ν_c.

In stellar interior, sound speed decreases with the increase in radius. The value of $c_s(r_c)$ varies with the mass and the age of stars and is affected by overshooting. The value of $c_s(r_c)$ of the most of MS stars with masses between about 1.1 and 1.5 $M_\odot$ is mainly in the range of $(4-6) \times 10^7$ cm s^{-1} (see Figures 4 and 5). **For example, for** a star with $M = 1.16\ M_\odot$, $X_i = 0.7$, $Z_i = 0.02$, and $\delta_{\rm ov} = 0.2$, when it evolves from central hydrogen abundance $X_c = 0.59$ to $X_c = 0.16$, the value of $c_s(r_c)$ decreases from about 5.2×10^7 cm s^{-1} to 4.5×10^7 cm s^{-1}; **for** a star with $M = 1.4\ M_\odot$, $X_i = 0.7$, $Z_i = 0.02$, and $\delta_{\rm ov} = 0$, when it evolves from $X_c = 0.5$ to $X_c = 0.1$, the value of $c_s(r_c)$ decreases from about 5.5×10^7 cm s^{-1} to 4.5×10^7 cm s^{-1}. Therefore, in the most of MS stage of stars with masses between about 1.1 and 1.5 $M_\odot$, **taken a model in the middle stage of MS as a reference,** one can assume that there is a change of 10% in $c_s(r_c)$, i.e., $c_s(r_c) \sim (5.0 \pm 0.5) \times 10^7$ cm s^{-1}.

For our sample, the relative uncertainty of ν_0 determined by chi-square fitting from observed data is between about 2.4% **and** 6.2%**. But our sample is small. The relative uncertainty of ν_0 of other stars might be larger than** 6.2%**. In order to estimate the uncertainty of the estimated r_c of other stars when this method is applied to the stars, we assume that the relative uncertainty of ν_0 for other stars is of the order of** 10% **and apply the uncertainty of** 10% **to all cases.** As a consequence, the **relative** uncertainty of the estimated r_c is about 20%. Table 3 shows that the values of the radius of the convective core determined by equations (3) and (6) from observed frequencies of different stars are in good agreement with those obtained from the best models of the stars.

4.2. Summary

Combining equation (3), we propose here for the first time using formula (6) to estimate the radius of the convective core including overshooting region of MS stars with masses between about 1.1 and 1.5 $M_\odot$ from observed frequencies and ratios. The estimated values of the radius of the convective core of four stars are consistent with those of the best models of the four stars. Using the observed frequencies and ratios of KIC 9812850, equations (3) and (6) predict the radius of the convective core of KIC 9812850 is $\mathbf{0.140 \pm 0.028}\ R_\odot$. In order to confirm this prediction, we constructed a grid of evolutionary tracks. Basing on finding the maximum likelihood of models, we obtained the best-fit model of KIC 9812850 with $M = 1.48\ M_\odot$, $R = 1.867\ R_\odot$, $T_{\rm eff}$= 6408 K, $L = 5.28\ L_\odot$, $t = 2.606$ Gyr, $r_c = 0.149\ R_\odot$, and $\delta_{\rm ov}$= 0.2. The best model can reproduce asteroseismic and non-asteroseismic characteristics of KIC 9812850. The value of the radius of the convective core of the best-fit model is in good agreement with that predicted by formula (6). Equations (3) and (6) aid in understanding the structure of stars directly from the observed frequencies.

The author thanks the anonymous referee for helpful comments that helped the author improve this work, as well as the support from the NSFC 11273012, 11273007, the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities, and the HSCC of Beijing Normal University.

REFERENCES

Alexander, D. R., & Ferguson, J. W. 1994, ApJ, 437, 879

Ammons, S. M., Robinson, S. E., Strader, J., Laughlin, G., Fischer, D., & Wolf, A. 2006, ApJ, 638, 1004

Appourchaux, T., Chaplin, W. J., García, R. A., et al. 2012, A&A, 543, A54

Basu, S., Chaplin, W. J., & Elsworth, Y. 2010, ApJ, 710, 1596

Benomar, O., Baudin, F., Campante, T. L. et al. 2009, A&A, 507, L13

Brandão, I. M., Cunha, M. S., & Christensen-Dalsgaard, J. 2014, MNRAS, 438, 1751

Brandão, I. M., Cunha, M. S., Creevey, O. L., & Christensen-Dalsgaard, J. 2010, AN, 331, 940

Bruntt, H., Basu, S., Smalley, B., et al. 2012, MNRAS, 423, 122

Chaplin, W. J., Basu, S., Huber, D., et al. 2014, ApJS, 210, 1

Christensen-Dalsgaard, J., & Houdek, G. 2010, Ap&SS, 328, 51

Cunha, M. S., & Metcalfe, T. S. 2007, ApJ, 666, 413

Cunha, M. S., & Brandão, I. M. 2011, A&A, 529, A10

Deheuvels, S., Bruntt, H., Michel, E., et al. 2010, A&A, 515, A87

Demarque, P., Sarajedini, A., Guo, X.-J. 1994, ApJ, 426, 165

Droege, T. F., Richmond, M. W., Sallman, M. P., Creager, R. P. 2006, PASP, 188, 1666

Flower, P. J. 1996, ApJ, 469, 355

Ge, Z. S., Bi, S. L., Li, T. D., Liu, K., Tian, Z. J., Yang, W. M., Liu, Z. E., Yu, J. 2014, MNRAS, 447, 680

Grevesse, N., & Sauval, A. J. 1998, in Solar Composition and Its Evolution, ed. C. Fröhlich et al. (Dordrecht: Kluwer), 161

Guenther, D. B. 1994, ApJ, 422, 400

Guenther, D. B., Demarque, P., & Gruberbauer, M. 2014, ApJ, 787, 164

Iglesias, C., Rogers, F. J. 1996, ApJ, 464, 943

Kharchenko, N. V. 2001, KFNT, 17, 409

Kjeldsen, H., Bedding, T. R., & Christensen-Dalsgaard, J. 2008, ApJL, 683, L175

Liu, Z., Yang, W., Bi, S., et al. 2014, ApJ, 780, 152

Mazumdar, A., Monteiro, M. J. P. F. G., Ballot, J., et al. 2014, ApJ, 782, 18

Metcalfe, T. S., et al. 2014, ApJS, 214, 27

Molenda-Zakowicz, J., Sousa, S. G., Frasca, A., et al. 2013, MNRAS, 434, 1422

Pinsonneault, M. H., Kawaler, S. D., Sofia, S., & Demarqure, P. 1989, ApJ, 338, 424

Rogers, F. J., & Nayfonov, A. 2002, ApJ, 576, 1064

Roxburgh, I. W., & Vorontsov, S. V. 1994, MNRAS, 267, 297

Roxburgh, I. W., & Vorontsov, S. V. 1999, in Stellar Structure: Theory and Test of Connective Energy Transport, ed. A. Giménez, E. F. Guinan, & B. Montesinos, ASP Conf. Ser. (San Francisco), 173, 257

Roxburgh, I. W., & Vorontsov, S. V. 2000a, MNRAS, 317, 141

Roxburgh, I. W., & Vorontsov, S. V. 2000b, MNRAS, 317, 151

Roxburgh, I. W., & Vorontsov, S. V. 2001, MNRAS, 322, 85

Roxburgh, I. W., & Vorontsov, S. V. 2003, A&A, 411, 215

Roxburgh, I. W., & Vorontsov, S. V. 2004, in ESA Special Publication, Vol. 538, Stellar Structure and Habitable Planet Finding, ed. F. Favata, S. Aigrain, & A. Wilson (Noordwijk: ESA), 403

Roxburgh, I. W., & Vorontsov, S. V. 2007, MNRAS, 379, 801

Silva Aguirre, V., Ballot, J., Serenelli, A. M., & Weiss, A. 2011, A&A, 529, A63

Silva Aguirre, V., Basu, S., Brandão, I. M., et al. 2013, ApJ, 769, 141

Spergel, D. N., Bean, R., Dore, O., et al., 2007, ApJS, 170, 377

Thoul, A. A., Bahcall, J. N., Loeb, A. 1994, ApJ, 421, 828

Tian, Z. J., Bi, S. L., Yang, W. M., et al. 2014, MNRAS, 445, 2999

Wright, C. O., Egan, M. P., Kraemer, K. E., Price, S. D. 2003, AJ, 125, 359

Yang, W. 2015, arXiv:1508.00955

Yang, W. 2016, ApJ, 821, 108

Yang, W., & Bi, S. 2007a, ApJL, 658, L67

Yang, W., & Bi, S. 2007b, A&A, 472, 571

Yang, W., & Meng, X. 2010, NewA, 15, 367

Yang, W., Meng, X., Bi, S., Tian, Z., Liu, K., Li, T., Li, Z. 2012, MNRAS, 422, 1552

Yang, W., Tian, Z., Bi, S., Ge, Z., Wu, Y., & Zhang, J. 2015, MNRAS, 453, 2094

This 2-column preprint was prepared with the AAS LaTeX macros v5.2.



Fig. 1.— Left panels show the distributions of ratios r_{01} and r_{10} and the results of equation (3) as a function of frequency. The values of A, ν_0, and B_0 for $B(\nu)$ are 180π, 2180 μHz, and 0.0465, respectively. Right panels represent differences between observed r_{01} and r_{10} and those of models, in the sence (Observed value − Model value) /Observational error.

Table 1: The range of the input parameters for the evolutionary tracks.

Variable	Minimum	Maximum	Resolution
$M/M_{\odot}$	1.00	1.60	$\leq$0.02
α	1.65	2.05	0.1
δ_{ov}	0.0	1.8	0.2
Z_i	0.010	0.040	0.002



Fig. 2.— Panels (a) and (b) show radial distributions of H mass fraction and adiabatic sound speed of models with $M = 1.04\ M_{\odot}$, respectively. The symbols S1, S2, and S3 indicate different evolutionary stages. **The cores of the models are radiative.** Panel (c) represents distributions of r_{10} of the models as a function of frequency.



Fig. 3.— **Panels (a) and (b) show radial distributions of H mass fraction and adiabatic sound speed of models with $M = 1.09\ M_{\odot}$, respectively. The symbols S1, S2, S3, and S4 indicate different evolutionary stages. Panel (c) represents distributions of the ratio r_{10} of the models as a function of frequency. In panel (c), the red lines correspond to r_{10} of models, while the green line shows the results of equation (3).**



Fig. 4.— **Similar to figure 3, but for the** models with $M = 1.16\ M_{\odot}$ and $\delta_{\rm ov} = 0.2$. The distribution of r_{10} of model S3 is almost completely reproduced by **equation** (3) with $\nu_0 = 2590\ \mu$Hz which is approximately equal to 2600 μHz determined by equation (5). **The cores of these models are convective.**



Fig. 5.— Similar to figure 3, but for the models with $M = 1.40\ M_{\odot}$ and $\delta_{\rm ov} = 0$. **The cores of these models are convective.**

Table 2: Parameters of models for KIC 9812850.

Model	M ($M_\odot$)	$T_{\rm eff}$ (K)	L ($L_\odot$)	R ($R_\odot$)	Age (Gyr)	Z_i	X_i	α	$\delta_{\rm ov}$	$r_{\rm c}$ ($R_\odot$)	ν_0^m (μHz)	χ^2_ν	$\chi^2_{\rm c}$	$\mathcal{L}$
M1	1.44	6267	4.75	1.851	2.861	0.024	0.728	1.75	0.2	0.144	2364	1.5	0.7	1.8×10^{-22}
M2	1.46	6188	4.58	1.864	2.950	0.028	0.724	1.75	0.2	0.147	2248	1.0	2.0	9.1×10^{-19}
M3	1.48	6408	5.28	1.867	2.606	0.024	0.728	1.95	0.2	0.149	2246	0.7	1.6	1.0×10^{-15}
M4	1.50	6320	5.07	1.880	2.620	0.030	0.722	1.95	0.2	0.152	2184	1.0	1.8	1.6×10^{-18}

Note. The symbol $r_{\rm c}$ represents the radius of convective core of models; while the ν_0^m indicates the frequency at which ratios r_{01} and r_{10} of models reach the minimum.

Table 3: The radius of the convective core of five stars.

Star	ν_0/μHz	$r_c/R_\odot$	$r_{c,model}/R_\odot$
KIC 6225718	5764 ± 312	$0.056 \pm 0.011^{(a)}$	0.056
KIC 9812850	2309 ± 141	0.140 ± 0.028	0.149
HD 49933	1920 ± 46	0.168 ± 0.034	0.170
KIC 2837475	913 ± 36	0.354 ± 0.070	0.358
KIC 11081729	795 ± 21	0.406 ± 0.081	0.370

$^{(a)}$The uncertainty is estimated by assuming that there is an uncertainty of 10% in c_s and ν_0. The values of r_c are estimated by using equation (6), while the values of $r_{c,model}$ are obtained from the best models of the stars.